requested that feeding cauls also might be provided for these tanks.

Reply.—The Divisional Officer is paying all attention to the matter. Your representation will be brought to his notice.

265. Extension of the Erattakara caul.

Mr. M. Bhuthalingam Pillai represented that this was one of the subjects brought up last year. If the proposed caul were opened, it would serve all the paddy fields from Thiruvancode to Rajakamangalam and about 5000 kottas of land would be saved from failure of crops.

Reply.—This work has been sanctioned as part of the Kodayar Project but it is not contemplated to carry out the same at present as it must wait its turn.

266. Erection of a satrom at Trivandrum for travellers.

This subject which stood in the name of *Mr. M. Bhuthalingam Pillai* was withdrawn by him.

267. Construction of a road from the Karingal Market via Kuzhikod to Thiruvancode.

Mr. M. Bhuthalingam Pillai represented that Karingal is an important place in the Padmanabhapuram Division containing a useful and much frequented market. It was necessary to open a road for the convenience of the people from this market to Thiruvancode, which is a commercial centre. A trace had already been made but nothing more was done. The member requested that this work might be urgently undertaken.

Reply.—This will be referred to the Chief Engineer.

268. Irregularities of the Settlement Department.

Mr. M. Bhuthalingam Pillai represented that the settlement of Eraniel taluk was more complicated than that of others, owing to the existence of such rights as the Oodukooru. But it had to be observed with regret that in no other taluk was settlement work pushed on with so much hurry as here. The consequence of this was that the local settlement authorities could not help being guilty of innumerable irregularities, omissions and commissions, and it looked as if the whole settlement work of the taluk was in utter confusion and chaos. Out

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly